**Frontend Developer Interview Scorecard**

Candidate Name: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Role Interviewed For: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Interviewer: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Dimension** | **Guidance** | **Score (1–5)** |
| Incident Triage & Resolution | 1-2: Fails to identify severity or priority; incidents frequently reopen or miss SLAs. 3: Correctly triages severity and follows runbooks to resolve incidents within SLA. 4: Anticipates escalation paths, reduces time-to-resolution through proactive coordination. 5: Prevents repeat escalations and materially lowers MTTR through systemic actions. |  |
| Cross-functional Coordination | 1-2: Fails to engage required teams; handoffs are unclear or delayed. 3: Brings required teams together and tracks action items to closure. 4: Proactively removes blockers and aligns priorities across functions. 5: Establishes reliable escalation paths and reduces cross-team handoff time. |  |
| Stakeholder Communication | 1-2: Provides late, inconsistent, or unclear updates that increase confusion. 3: Delivers timely status updates to customers and stakeholders during incidents. 4: Tailors updates to audience needs and proactively manages expectations. 5: Serves as trusted spokesperson who reduces stakeholder escalations through clarity. |  |
| Root Cause Analysis & Continuous Improvement | 1-2: Resolves symptoms without identifying root cause; no actionable postmortems. 3: Conducts postmortems and documents root causes with corrective actions. 4: Ensures corrective actions are implemented and verified to prevent recurrence. 5: Drives systemic changes that measurably reduce incident frequency and impact. |  |
| Process & Playbook Development | 1-2: Runbooks are missing, outdated, or inconsistently used. 3: Maintains up-to-date runbooks and follows defined escalation processes. 4: Improves playbooks and automates repeatable remediation steps. 5: Builds versioned, scalable processes adopted by multiple teams. |  |
| Metrics, Reporting & Risk Management | 1-2: Does not track key incident metrics or miss emerging risk signals. 3: Tracks MTTR, incident counts, and delivers regular reports. 4: Uses metrics to prioritize work and highlight operational risk trends. 5: Builds dashboards and forecasting that enable proactive risk mitigation. |  |
| Leadership & Coaching | 1-2: Avoids ownership or fails to develop responders; accountability gaps persist. 3: Coaches responders, enforces accountability, and mentors team members. 4: Runs drills, develops skills, and improves team incident performance. 5: Builds and mentors a high-performing escalations capability across the organization. |  |

**Overall Evaluation**

**Strengths Observed:**

**Concerns / Weaknesses:**

**Recommendation (Yes / No / With Reservations):**

**Final Score (Avg / Weighted):**