Frontend Developer Interview Scorecard



Candidate Name: Role Interviewed: Interviewer: Date:	
Dimensions	
• HTML & CSS Fund	damentals — Score (1–5):
semantic markup a maintainable CSS a	rrect or non-semantic markup and frequently breaks layout. 3: Creates functional, nd resolves common layout issues with guidance. 4: Builds responsive, and avoids layout regressions independently. 5: Designs reusable styling patterns CSS practices proactively.
1-2: Struggles with Understands ES6+ and handles async	hentals — Score (1–5): basic language constructs and common DOM interaction patterns. 3: syntax and implements straightforward logic reliably. 4: Writes clear, idiomatic JS flows and edge cases independently. 5: Optimizes logic for performance and avaScript best practices.
1-2: Cannot create components following components, state	ponent Experience — Score (1–5): or reason about components in the team's framework. 3: Builds and composes ng basic patterns with some guidance. 4: Implements well-structured management, and props flows independently. 5: Introduces improved component reduce complexity across the codebase.
1-2: Gets stuck free using console, devi	Debugging — Score (1–5): quently and cannot isolate root causes of bugs. 3: Diagnoses common issues tools, and error messages. 4: Systematically isolates problems, writes and proposes fixes. 5: Identifies underlying patterns in bugs and prevents rely.
1-2: Produces unte	sted code with inconsistent style and unclear intent. 3: Writes readable code and integration tests when prompted. 4: Delivers well-structured code with reliable sting/formatting rules. 5: Improves test coverage, suggests meaningful test cases, y standards.

zythr.com Page 1 of 1

ZYTHR

1-2: Ignores basic accessibility and produces unclear user interactions. 3: Implements common UX patterns and basic ARIA/keyboard support when guided. 4: Delivers accessible components and considers error states and focus management. 5: Advocates accessibility improvements and designs interactions that reduce user friction.

Collaboration & Communication — Score (1–5):

1-2: Communicates poorly, misses context, and requires frequent follow-up. 3: Asks relevant questions, documents work, and responds to feedback constructively. 4: Proactively shares progress, aligns with teammates, and incorporates feedback quickly. 5: Facilitates small design or code discussions and helps coordinate across roles.

Learning & Growth Mindset — Score (1–5):

1-2: Resists feedback and shows little progress after coaching. 3: Accepts feedback and applies it to improve tasks over time. 4: Seeks new challenges, learns tools quickly, and applies knowledge independently. 5: Drives personal improvement plans and shares learnings to uplift peers.

Overall Evaluation

Strengths Observed:

Concerns / Weaknesses:

Recommendation (Yes / No / With Reservations):

Final Score (Avg / Weighted):

zythr.com Page 1 of 2