Frontend Developer Interview Scorecard



| Candidate Name: Role Interviewed: Interviewer: Date: | |
|---|---|
| Dimensions | |
| • Frontend Implemen | tation — Score (1–5): |
| components from de responsive, accessi | nvert designs into working UI; frequent layout or interaction bugs. 3: Implements esigns using framework conventions and handles common props/state. 4: Builds ble components and handles edge cases and error states. 5: Creates reusable, improves UI performance, and mentors peers on frontend best practices. |
| • Backend Implemen | tation — Score (1–5): |
| Implements endpoir validation. 4: Design | ent or explain basic routes, data flow, or persistence; frequent runtime errors. 3: ats and database interactions following established patterns and handles as clear APIs, handles errors and edge cases, and reasons about data models. Anticipates scaling concerns, proposes schema or API improvements, and end choices. |
| • Problem Solving & | Algorithms — Score (1–5): |
| problems into steps approaches, explair | pose simple problems or produces incorrect solutions with no testing. 3: Breaks and implements correct solutions with reasonable complexity. 4: Selects efficients trade-offs, and handles edge cases proactively. 5: Simplifies complex robust algorithms, and anticipates future constraints. |
| • Code Quality & Tes | ting — Score (1–5): |
| code, follows style of includes integration | ctured code with minimal or no tests and unclear naming. 3: Writes readable conventions, and adds unit tests for main logic. 4: Produces well-factored code, tests, and refactors to reduce duplication. 5: Introduces clear testing patterns, maintainability, and mentors on best practices. |

zythr.com Page 1 of 1

ZYTHR

1-2: Provides unclear updates, misses context, and rarely asks clarifying questions. 3: Communicates status, asks for help when blocked, and documents basic decisions. 4: Clearly explains trade-offs, proactively syncs with teammates, and writes useful PR descriptions. 5: Facilitates team alignment on tasks, drives clear design discussions, and improves team processes.

Learning & Ownership — Score (1–5):

1-2: Avoids unfamiliar tasks and rarely incorporates feedback. 3: Seeks feedback, learns new technologies, and completes assigned tasks reliably. 4: Takes ownership of small features, iterates on feedback, and improves processes. 5: Proactively identifies gaps, drives improvements beyond assigned scope, and mentors other juniors.

Tools & Deployment Basics — Score (1–5):

1-2: Cannot use version control or run the app locally without heavy help. 3: Uses git effectively, runs local environment, and opens clear PRs. 4: Diagnoses CI issues, understands basic deployment steps, and improves dev scripts. 5: Automates repetitive tasks, contributes to CI/CD stability, and documents deployment processes.

Overall Evaluation

Strengths Observed:

Concerns / Weaknesses:

Recommendation (Yes / No / With Reservations):

Final Score (Avg / Weighted):

zythr.com Page 1 of 2