Frontend Developer Interview Scorecard



Candidate Name:	
Role Interviewed:	
Interviewer: Date:	
Dimensions	
• System Design —	Score (1–5):
1-2: Fails to decor	npose a system; ignores failure modes and clear trade-offs. 3: Designs correct
end-to-end solutio	ns for moderate scope and lists key trade-offs. 4: Produces scalable, modular
designs with clear	component responsibilities and failure handling. 5: Leads cross-service
architecture choice	es, quantifies trade-offs, and defines extensible evolution paths.
• API Design — Sco	ore (1–5):
1-2: Produces inco	onsistent or insecure APIs lacking versioning and error contracts. 3: Designs
consistent APIs wi	th clear contracts, auth, and standardized error handling. 4: Includes backward
compatibility, pagi	nation, rate limits, and contract documentation. 5: Defines API strategy, versioning
policy, and automa	ates contract testing across teams.
Data Modeling & S	Storage — Score (1–5):
1-2: Chooses inap	propriate storage or creates schemas causing duplication and slow queries. 3:
Selects suitable st	ores, designs schemas/indexes for common queries, and handles migrations. 4:
Models for growth	and query patterns, plans migrations, and enforces data integrity. 5: Defines data
ownership, cross-s	service data patterns, and migration strategies minimizing downtime.
• Performance & So	alability — Score (1–5):
1-2: Ignores load,	introduces resource spikes, and lacks basic monitoring strategies. 3: Identifies
bottlenecks, applie	es caching/pagination, and optimizes queries. 4: Benchmarks components, defines
scaling strategies,	and tunes resource usage. 5: Drives capacity planning, defines SLOs, and leads
system-wide perfo	rmance improvements.
• Testing & Reliabili	ty — Score (1–5):
1-2: Delivers minir	nal or no tests and causes regressions in deployment. 3: Provides unit and
integration tests a	nd uses CI to catch regressions. 4: Implements end-to-end tests, fault handling,
and rollback strate	gies. 5: Sets reliability targets, runs chaos/failure tests, and drives postmortem
improvements.	

zythr.com Page 1 of 1

ZYTHR

1-2: Relies on manual deploys, lacks repeatable build and rollback procedures. 3: Maintains CI pipeline, automated builds, and scripted deployments with basic rollback. 4: Implements canary/blue-green deploys, monitoring, and automated rollbacks. 5: Automates infrastructure changes, improves deployment platform, and mentors teams on best practices.

Collaboration & Communication — Score (1–5):

1-2: Poorly communicates designs, misses requirements, and avoids feedback. 3: Explains decisions clearly, documents work, and responds to reviewer input. 4: Facilitates design reviews, aligns stakeholders, and mentors junior engineers. 5: Drives cross-team initiatives, influences roadmap, and leads technical discussions.

Overall Evaluation

Strengths Observed:

Concerns / Weaknesses:

Recommendation (Yes / No / With Reservations):

Final Score (Avg / Weighted):

zythr.com Page 1 of 2