Frontend Developer Interview Scorecard



Candidate Name: Role Interviewed: Interviewer: Date:	
Dimensions	
• System Design —	Score (1–5):
designs for modera	spose systems; ignores trade-offs; produces brittle designs. 3: Creates reasonable at escope and recognizes common trade-offs. 4: Designs scalable, modular pates failure modes and scaling needs. 5: Defines long-term architecture, drives decisions, and balances extensibility, cost, and performance.
Backend Implement	ntation — Score (1–5):
Implements reliable efficient, well-teste	gy or inefficient server code; misses edge cases and error handling. 3: e, maintainable backend features with appropriate abstractions. 4: Delivers d services with clear APIs and robust error handling. 5: Optimizes throughput and thers on backend patterns, and leads complex refactors.
• Frontend Impleme	ntation — Score (1–5):
maintainable UI fol testable componen	ional or inaccessible and misuses framework patterns. 3: Builds responsive, lowing component patterns and basic accessibility. 4: Creates performant, ts with clear state management and UX polish. 5: Shapes frontend architecture, r experience, and drives performance and accessibility standards.
• Testing and Qualit	y — Score (1–5):
integration tests co	tests; relies on manual testing and introduces regressions. 3: Delivers unit and vering key paths and uses CI. 4: Maintains high test coverage, effective mocks, ests while reducing flakiness. 5: Establishes testing strategy, fosters team test proves CI reliability.
• DevOps & Reliabil	ity — Score (1–5):
deploy and rollback automation, alerts,	ally with frequent failures and lacks monitoring knowledge. 3: Uses CI/CD, can k, and monitors basic health metrics. 4: Implements robust deployment strategies, and recovery steps. 5: Designs SLOs/SLIs, runs incident postmortems, and ks and capacity planning.

zythr.com Page 1 of 1

ZYTHR

1-2: Fails to communicate status, is unresponsive to feedback, and blocks the team. 3: Communicates clearly with engineers and product and participates in planning and reviews. 4: Influences technical decisions, negotiates trade-offs, and aligns stakeholders. 5: Leads cross-team initiatives, mentors peers, and communicates complex trade-offs to leadership.

Ownership & Mentorship — Score (1–5):	
---------------------------------------	--

1-2: Avoids ownership, repeats issues, and gives minimal code review feedback. 3: Owns features end-to-end and provides helpful review feedback. 4: Proactively improves the codebase, mentors juniors, and reduces technical debt. 5: Defines team technical direction, scales processes, and grows others into senior roles.

Overall Evaluation

Strengths Observed:

Concerns / Weaknesses:

Recommendation (Yes / No / With Reservations):

Final Score (Avg / Weighted):

zythr.com Page 1 of 2